

## **Mendoza-Gonzalez, Francisco**

---

**From:** Mendoza-Gonzalez, Francisco  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 8, 2020 1:23 PM  
**To:** Mendoza-Gonzalez, Francisco  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to the temporary permit on Lurs and nearby neighborhoods

-----Original Message-----

From: Natalie Sobalvarro < >  
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 5:31 PM  
To: planningweb <planningweb@cityofmerced.org>  
Subject: Opposition to the temporary permit on Lurs and nearby neighborhoods

I'm writing to FIRMLY oppose the temporary permit to G.L.O.M to increase the number of beds on Lurs and nearby neighborhoods on the following grounds.

1. This neighborhoods ALREADY are hosting four of these types of residences in close proximity.
2. I pay my property taxes for my children NOT to be exposed to the kind of issues that affect people in these facilities.
3. The homelessness is a big problem in Merced but this is NOT the solution! The medicine cannot be worse than the disease. As I said before, these neighborhoods are ALREADY doing their share by having four residences of this nature.
4. These types of residences affect the feel of the neighborhood. The City must understand this! If you grant this permit, you are aiding in dismantling neighborhoods and making them more susceptible to crime.
5. My neighbors and I feel that this is also an equity issue. These neighborhoods are target for these types of residences because most homes have a large square footage. The Planning Commission needs to protect the taxpayers who chose to live here and pay HIGH taxes to live in homes of this size.
6. I'm just tired of the constant police presence, social disruptions, lack of parking, garbage, traffics that these types of residences bring to this neighborhood and its vicinity.
7. Last meeting Dr. Turner mentioned that G.L.O.M is in good standing with the State. He mentioned that he operates a home in Lodi and that he never has received a complain. Since then, I found out that the residence in Lodi is located in the East part of Lodi considered to be the "rougher" area of town and prompt to transitional residences of this nature. The investment meter of the G .L.O.M location in Lodi DOES not equal in real state value to where G.L.O.M residences are located in Merced. Also, G.L.O.M is undergoing an investigation, and the State has received many complaints.
8. Read G.L.O.M website. G.L.O.M deals with a clientele who requires 24 hour care. Their clientele is a volatile, special group who requires assess to many services and be in an area who is also supported of them. The families in these neighborhoods should not be forced to witness or deal with situations that are common in this type of care and group. The children and the elderly of these neighborhoods have already witnessed enough disruptions coming from these types of residences. Unless, Dr. Turner is claiming his staff to be infallible, please don't grant this permit.
9. These neighborhoods are ALREADY hosting many of these residences. As you know, this has a negative impact on home value. Is the City willing to cut us a break in our property taxes for the money lost?
10. Protect Merced by protecting its established neighborhoods. Yes, we have a homeless problem but the medicine cannot be worse than the disease.
11. If this permit is granted few of us are considering renting our homes and moving to nearby towns.
12. Most mentally ill patients require medication. Are these people given medication? What kind of background checks is GLOM performing ( Dr. Turner mentioned "extensive background checks") What does that mean? As we all know the homeless population is quite diverse from the child molester to the mentally ill to the ex incarcerated to the veterans to the unemployed and the list goes on. Why are these residences allow to operate in close proximity of each other and near children? Why G. L.O.M have not filed taxes? How can GLOM assure the neighbors that their clients will stay at the residence after 3:00? I found this statement not only to be ridiculous last meeting but unfair to the mere clientele they serve.
13. I ask the Planning Commission if you have an elderly parent or children or grandchildren and if you work very hard to provide your family with an environment that meets somewhat your standards of safety and friendly environment,

would you grant this permit if it affected your neighborhood and quality of living? I'm quite sure that your HONEST answer would be "no". So, why would you impose and/or aggravate these issues on us who will be affected by you granting this permit?  
Thanks for your time and consideration