Levesque, Jennifer

From: planningweb

Sent: Monday, October 18, 2021 8:51 AM
 To: Levesque, Jennifer; Tresidder, John
 Subject: FW: Yosemite Crossing Development
 Attachments: Yosemite Crossing Development.docx

Good morning,

Please see the email below regarding SUP Rev #4 to P-D #72 for tonight's meeting.

Thank you, Taylor

From: Paul Wilson

Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2021 3:20 PM

To: planningweb <planningweb@cityofmerced.org>

Subject: Yosemite Crossing Development

Hi,

I have attached a statement with regards to the Site Utilization Plan Rivision #4 to Planned Development (P-D)#72 and Conditional Use Permit #1258 and notice to intent to adopt a categorical exemption for the Yosemite Crossing development. This is for the 10-18-21 city council meeting at 6PM.

Thank You, Paul Wilson

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of City of Merced -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

I, Paul Wilson, as a resident of am disappointed and concerned with the lack of accurate presentation of the Yosemite Crossing site utilization plan at G, Yosemite and Sandpiper.

The City of Merced appears and demonstrates a bias towards developers who can provide money for any not well planned projects. The profits for developers outweigh the residents quality of life. It is imperative to hear the residents' concerns and valid points of impact upon our neighborhood.

There is a need for the seven foot concrete wall for increased privacy, noise reduction, and quality of life. **Why are we being denied this amenity?**There has been a seven foot wall erected for the homeowners at the Promenade. A seven foot wall was erected for homeowners on Bobolink for the medical buildings. A seven foot wall was erected for homeowners in the latest development of Wathen homes off Mansionette across from Cruickshank school.

Due to the openness of the low walls and no gates, I have had a homeless person come into my garage and demand the use of my cell phone. He was agressive, used fowl language when I told him to leave my residence. With increased population of the Yosemite Crossing development, I feel our neighborhood will be impacted with more of these same situations or nuisance of violating our privacy and protection of our properties.

The 48 unit apartments would be better suited to be built closer to G St. There has not been any accurate information for the need of increased apartments from the original 48 units. Parking lots, medical buildings, retail building are more suited across from the residential area.

Paul Wilson
Merced