

Lane, Victoria

From: Lane, Victoria
Sent: Tuesday, July 2, 2024 10:54 AM
To: Lane, Victoria
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Permit #1277

From: Vince Remillard
Sent: Monday, July 1, 2024 3:40 PM
Subject: Conditional Use Permit #1277

I live in the area that the proposed ATT cell tower is being considered. According to the staff report made available to the public on June 28th regarding the Conditional use Permit #1277, of other sites investigated, three of those sites are owned by the city of Merced. Rahilly Park, Rascal Strip Park, and city water tank on McKee Rd. The reason given for not choosing one of those sites was that the city did not want to lease to ATT.

Why would the city decline a lease that would bring needed funds back to the city? If the city does not deem the cell tower as wanted or needed, how does the city planning Commission justify recommending a cell tower on a similar independent party site that the city itself refuses?

Maybe the Merced fire station 55 at 3520 Parsons Ave. would be a better choice farther away from an elementary school. If not, then why not???

Vince Remillard