

**CITY OF MERCED  
Development Services**

TO: Bicycle Advisory Commission  
FROM: Michael Hren, Principal Planner  
DATE: February 26, 2019  
SUBJECT: Project Updates

---

**BACKGROUND**

Staff has updates regarding two projects that have been discussed at previous meetings of the Commission: bicycle-related signage on M Street and the Active Transportation Program application for a multi-use path on Childs Avenue.

**DISCUSSION**

Staff has brought the signage on M Street to the attention of the City Attorney who indicated that any counsel she would provide would be based in part on the opinion of the Director of Public Works. Mr. Elwin has stated that he would be opposed to the removal of the existing signage.

The City of Merced submitted the Childs Avenue multi-use path application for ATP funds. The project was not recommended for funding. The Evaluation Document for the project is presented as Attachment A.

**ACTION**

No action is required.

**ATTACHMENT:**

- A. ATP Evaluation Document for Childs Avenue Corridor Multi-Use Path

# ATP 2019

## Consensus Score Sheet

**County:** Merced  
**Application ID:** 10-Merced-1  
**Project Name:** Childs Avenue Corridor Multi-Use Path  
**Project Applicant:** City of Merced  
**Evaluator Team Number:** 20  
**Date:** October 5, 2018

<b>CONSENSUS SCORE</b>
<b>49</b>

### Breakdown:

QUESTION	TEAM SCORE	NOTES
Q1	8	CalEnviroScreen
Q2	20	Currently used by students
Q3	20	Currently lacking safe facilities
Q4	1	Lacks significant information
Q5	0	Inappropriate use of funds
Q7	0	Inconsistent information
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>49</b>	

**OVERALL COMMENTS:**

Over \$2 million of the \$2.8 million project will be spent undergrounding an aqueduct which runs parallel to the road. The road lacks pedestrian facilities and would benefit from non-motorized improvements, however, this project appears to be an aqueduct undergrounding project. If, in a future funding cycle, the City or other District contributed the cost of the 72" RGRCB Pipe, the Active Transportation Program should consider funding this project. The existing proposal is not recommended for funding.